

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/617,146 | 07/10/2003 | Anca Faur-Ghenciu | GP-302809 | 1398 | |
| 23368 7590 10/04/2007 DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP ONE DAYTON CENTRE, ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 1300 DAYTON, OH 45402-2023 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | HANDAL, KAITY V | | |
| | | | , ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1797 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/04/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/617,146 | FAUR-GHENCIU ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kaity Handal | 1764 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | , | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 3 July 2007. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[|). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic | ation. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | · | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | · | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con | rection is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bu | , ,,, | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4 11 2007 | 6) Other: | * * | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Silver (US 6,455,182 B1) in view of Nunan (US 6,040,265).

With respect to claims 1-2, 4, 12-13, 15-16, 24-25, 27-29, 36-37, 42, 44-45, 50-51, 53-55, 59-60, Silver teaches placing a high activity water gas shift catalyst system into a water gas shift converter and passing the process fuel gas through the water gas shift converter in effective contact with the high activity water gas shift catalyst system (Ceria based catalyst) and converting a portion of the carbon monoxide in the process fuel gas into carbon dioxide and hydrogen by a water gas shift reaction (Abstract). Silver however does not provide details the presence of promoters and does not disclose the claimed weight percentages of cerium oxide and zirconium oxide. Nunan teaches an apparatus for reducing an amount of carbon monoxide in process gas wherein the catalyst is a ceria based catalyst which promotes water gas shift reactions (col. 1, lines 43-56), the catalyst system comprising a noble metal/(Pt or Pd) (col. 10, line 65); a mixed metal oxide support consisting essentially of cerium oxide and zirconium oxide, wherein cerium oxide is

Art Unit: 1764

present in an amount from about 45% to about 90% by weight of mixed metal oxide and zirconium/lanthanum oxide is present in amount from about 10% to 55% by weight of mixed metal oxide (col. 10, lines 64 col. 11, lines 1-5), and a promoter comprising Yttrium (col. 11, line 7) in order to enhance the Ce or Zr promotional effect on catalyst performance in CO and NOx oxidation (col. 20, lines 45-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the catalyst of Silver with the catalyst composition taught by Nunan in order to provide an enhanced Ce or Zr promotional effect on catalyst performance in CO and NOx oxidation.

With respect to claims 3, 14, 26, 38, 46, 56, Nunan further teaches wherein the noble metal/(group VIII) is present in an amount of between about 0.01% to about 4% by weight of total catalyst (col. 13, lines 40-43).

With respect to claims 5, 17, 30, 43, 52, 61, Nunan further teaches wherein the promoter is present in an amount of between 1% and about 20% by weight of total catalyst (col. 14, lines 23-25).

With respect to claims 6-7, 18-19, 31, 39-40, 47-48, 57, Nunan further teaches wherein the mixed metal oxide support further comprises a support dopant/(additional promoter) selected from lanthanum (col. 14, lines 8-15).

With respect to claims 8, 20, 32, Nunan further teaches wherein the support dopant/(additional promoter) is in the form of a metal oxide (col. 14, lines 8-21).

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claims 9, 21, 33, 41, 49, 58, Nunan further teaches wherein the support dopant/(additional promoter) is present in an amount of between about 1% and about 20% by weight of mixed metal oxide (col. 14, lines 8-25).

With respect to claim 10-11, 22-23, 34-35, Nunan further teaches wherein the process fuel gas passes through the water gas shift at a temperature of about 225°C (col. 15, lines 53-57).

If the options in the Nunan as to the various catalyst/promoter/dopant material groups appear non-anticipatory, then it is deemed obvious to one having skill in the art to choose from these options.

Response to Arguments

35 USC 112

Rejection made to claim 1 under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn by examiner due to applicant's amendment.

Prior Art

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaity Handal whose telephone number is (571) 272-8520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHA

9/26/2007

CENN A. CALDAROLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
CROUP 1100

+ C1700